Notes: Full Sutton Stakeholder Engagement Meeting
Thursday 2 December 2021 11am
Attendees:

Raj Singh (chair)	RS	Principal Project Sponsor
Sarah McIntyre	SMc	Briefing and Stakeholder Engagement Team
Nick Hardy	NH	Avison Young
Charlotte Nelson	CN	Lexington
Councillor Fiona Roberts	FR	Local Action Group/Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor Matthew Edwards	ME	Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Claire Findley	CF	Clerk, Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor Colin Clarke	CC	Local Action Group/ Stamford Bridge Parish Council
Councillor David Roberts	DR	Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council

Apologies

Suky Atwal	Director of Prison Infrastructure
Billahl Mehter	Principal Project Sponsor
James Chatfield	Planning, East Riding Council
Councillor Sarah Davies	Chairman, Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor Leo Hammond	East Riding Council
Councillor Mike Stathers	East Riding Council
Stephen Hunt	Head of Planning East Riding Council
Dave King	Clerk, Stamford Bridge Parish Council
Councillor Richard Clarke	Chairman, Stamford Bridge Parish Council
Councillor Declan Flynn	Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor David Rudd	East Riding Council

1. Welcome

RS opened the meeting. SMc noted that Councillor David Roberts would be a regular attendee going forward on behalf of FSSPC, at Councillor Davies' request.

2. Site Update

RS provided a brief site update: The new ditch is now complete, with vegetation having been transplanted from the old ditch to the new, and hydro-seeding applied. There has now been a fence erected and CCTV/security measures are due to be installed. Yorkshire Water have been on site working on the temporary foul water diversion, this is due to be completed by Christmas. MoJ have met with the social values lead at ERYC to have some early conversations about local businesses

being involved in the new prison, there is a possible 'Meet the Buyer' event planned for this in the New Year.

ME asked about off-site planting. RS advised that there will be conversations had about this in the New Year.

3. Planning update from NH

NH noted that a significant milestone had been reached with ERYC having granted Reserved Matters Consent and Full Planning Permission for the new Workshop building. NH did not go through that in detail, given that FR, ME and CC were all present at the Pre-Committee and Planning Committee meetings, and that FR and CC had contributed. NH noted that, in his view, the process run by the Council had been effective, as it had resulted in some changes to the landscaping and bunding proposals, to the benefit of residents, ahead of determination by Planning Committee. NH noted that ERYC had added appropriately worded planning conditions to the Reserved Matters consent which was issued on Friday 19 November to pick up these changes NHn noted also that the Workshop permission was issued on 19 November.

NH noted that the MoJ will now need to prepare revised landscaping plans (the soft landscaping drawings submitted with the RMAs do not appear in the list of approved plans) to incorporate the adjustments agreed. The revised plans need to be prepared and agreed by the LPA prior to any above ground construction works taking place. The MoJ will want to make progress on these in good time, but was unable to confirm a specific timescale for this at present. Plans will also need to be produced to confirm the revised bund height, and to confirm the delivery of a fence on the top of the bund. FR asked whether this group can see the landscaping plans ahead of submission. NH advised that the intention on the part of the MoJ and LPA is that the revised drawings would be shared with the Stakeholder Group. FR noted it would be preferable that this was before submission.

NH noted that Members had asked about off-site planting at Planning Committee, and that James Chatfield had advised that this was something that the LPA could not control (because it would relate to land outside the aplication site boundary and which would not be in the Applicant's control), but that it was open to residents to raise this with the MoJ and to ask if that was something that the MoJ might consider on a 'goodwill' basis. NH and RS both noted that this is something that the MoJ is willing to discuss, and NH suggested that the first question was whether those on the call owned any land that could be planted on this basis. ME and FR confirmed that they do not.

ME said that it would be most beneficial if the land owned by farmers to the east of his estate were to be planted, and he was aware that the MoJ had been in contact with them to discuss. RS noted that the MoJ and they were in contact with said land owners, but for other reasons unrelated to off-site landscaping.

CC also noted that land to the east of ME's property would be beneficial for planting, and that another row of trees on the approach to the prison would make the approach more attractive.

NH noted all that was said, but reminded the meeting that the on-site landscaping proposals have been approved by ERYC,so that there is no need or obligation on the MoJ to provide any landscaping off-site. However, the MoJ will explore the possibility on a goodwill basis. NH noted also that the MoJ was not looking to acquire land so that any landscaping on third party land that might be considered could not be guaranteed to endure in perpetuity. Whilst grateful for CC's offer to confirm ownership of various parcels, NH noted that the MoJ could find that out via land registry searches.

Classification - OFFICIAL

CC noted that there had been a large vehicle that had had difficulty accessing site during the week. NH advised that the construction access plans are with the Council to review and sign off.

CC also asked whether the flooding on Moor Lane would be exacerbated due to the higher bund. RS said that the drainage/surface water plans are in place for this.

FR asked whether there were still ongoing conversations with the Police, in terms of a presence on site. RS said that the conversations are still ongoing, that the existing prison is also supportive of this, and there may be a further update on this towards the end of January.

CC asked whether there was any more information available on the new pipeline from Yorkshire Water? NH noted that it is for Yorkshire Water to determine the most appropriate route, rather than MoJ. RS said she hopes to be able to provide some more information on this next month on the feasibility studies that have been carried out on that.

4. Communications Update

SMc advised that the December newsletter had gone to print today, and that this would start to arrive over the next week via royal mail, to the usual catchment of circa 2500 residents. A digital copy will be shared after this meeting and can be shared accordingly.

SMc asked the group whether a meeting on Thursday 6 January would be suitable, given the Christmas period, or whether it was better to move it back. The group were content to leave the meeting ‘as is’.

There were no further comments or any other business, RS closed the meeting and wished everyone a happy festive period. We look forward to speaking with everyone again in 2022.

End of document