Meeting Notes: Full Sutton Stakeholder Engagement Meeting
Thursday 7 October 2021 11am
Attendees:

Billahl Mehter	BM	Principle Project Sponsor
Jo Hughes	JH	Briefing and Stakeholder Engagement Team
Charlotte Woods	CW	Lexington
Councillor Fiona Roberts	FR	Local Action Group/Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor Matthew Edwards	ME	Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
James Chatfield	JC	Planning, East Riding Council
Councillor Leo Hammond	LH	East Riding Council
Councillor Richard Clarke	RC	Chairman, Stamford Bridge Parish Council
Councillor Sarah Davies	SD	Chairman, Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor Colin Clarke	CC	Local Action Group/ Stamford Bridge Parish Council
Claire Findley	CF	Clerk, Full Sutton & Skirpenbeck Parish Council
Councillor David Rudd	DR	East Riding Council

Apologies

Suky Atwal	Director of Prison Infrastructure
Raj Singh	Principle Project Sponsor
Sarah McIntyre	Briefing and Stakeholder Engagement Team
Nick Hardy	Avison Young

1. Welcome

BM opened the meeting

2. Site Update

- The Early Works activity been continuing on site and is expected to be completed in November.
- A wheel wash facility is being delivered to site shortly to ensure no mess/disruption to the public highways.
- Ecologists are still working on site to ensure protocol/procedures are in place for dealing with the badger culvert.

3. Planning update

JC advised any objections sent in to the planning portal, even if by email would be classed as an objection.

(CC Email BM to respond to questions raised) AP-BM

JC confirmed the council have just received a suite of amended plans including surface water and foul water drainage plans which have been uploaded onto the system today. Parish councils and the relevant drainage departments would be consulted. – plans have just come in following discussions with Yorkshire Water.

JC re-iterated the ask that any formal objections raised be done so through the formal planning application route

The email referred to by CC states scheme is illegal, JC clarified it is not illegal it's to do with the sequencing of events from when the scheme was first put forward however any concerns that have been raised will be looked into.

JC confirmed he would look into the issue and raise with the IT team concerning the issue of the planning comments not to be submitted at this time that CC was referring to.

JC advised likely to come to the planning committee in December, there was a possibility for November but more likely December due to new information received.

FR clarified for CC that conditions 8 and 9 on the planning portal where it basically says comments are not invited, she clarified you can't comment on that electronically however there are letters on there that have been received by post.

JC - the website only to do with the condition discharge and it is actually the sentence underneath which is the important bit 'is that this notifies the council proposed works or development not needing permission because it will not be approving/refusing proposals so comments are not invited – Condition discharge not something that formally consults with public on as technical submission of details.

4. Community Benefits

Discussion held on community benefits that MoJ can offer, BM gave some examples that have happened recently with the community at HMP Five Wells, construction contractors working directly with schools, educating on construction as well as upgrading the schools where possible. Also provided i-pads/laptops during covid to allow children to work from home. MoJ open to exploring options but no guarantees can be given. If there is some form of local infrastructure that may need investment then this is something that may be able to be explored

Suggestions as below:

DR – recreational facilities for local children, main item

FR - police presence on site, Police Commissioner also keen, BM confirmed something being looked at for site – will come back with update.

LH– do we do apprenticeship schemes, BM confirmed yes, contractors have KPI for this to ensure this option and facility is provided not just through construction but operation as well.

Full Sutton would also welcome a village hall

CF – village hall, / meeting place – somewhere for parish council to hold meetings etc. renovation of a church to enable that to happen

Parish council about to do their own public consultation as to whether a children's play area is wanted/needed in Full Sutton

CC – local bypass – could MoJ consult on this with local areas.

ME – why are the MoJ currently looking to buy 8 acres adjacent to property (the triangle field)? BM – will take away and ask MoJ property team for a response – could be for construction delivery. BM has replied to Mr Edward on 25/10/2021 with the following: An enquiry has been made to a local land owner to rent some land on a fixed term basis for storage of materials/soil.

LH - as the prison is being built in Full Sutton, it seems only right that the community benefits go there.

RC tapestry has been made in Stamford Bridge, 15 panels depicting the battle of Stamford Bridge, they are looking for somewhere for it to be displayed permanently within the area. Couple of buildings locally where it may be possible with funding to develop that opportunity. It has taken 6 years to be completed.

5. Communications

Latest newsletter issued, w.c 13th September, it went to the same number of households that have received the previous leaflets and a digital copy was also sent out. Reminder that they are now being delivered by Royal Mail not hand delivered to ensure all households receive one.

The newsletter gave notice that the Reserve Matters Application had been submitted, no feedback has been received via the designated email address since the newsletter was issued. The final one for 2020 will be issued December time.

6. AOB

None

[End of document]